

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/806,767	03/23/2004	Yuko Nishikawa	81235 7114	2440
37123 FITCH EVEN TABIN & FLANNERY 120 SOUTH LASALLE STREET SUITE 1600			EXAMINER	
			TAYLOR, JOSHUA D	
CHICAGO, IL	60603-3406		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2426	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/17/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/806,767
 NISHIKAWA ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 JOSHUA TAYLOR
 2426

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

/Josh Taylor/ Examiner, Art Unit 2426	/VIVEK SRIVASTAVA/
(Jack Taylor)	/VIVEK SRIVASTAVA/
FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INT requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	ERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview
GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS IN	R OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS ITERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the	ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE ne last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS
allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attach	
	ndments which the examiner agreed would render the claims
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed possible claifor case 10/806.830</u> .	al nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was im amendments that were similar to proposed amendments
Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\hfill \square$ was reached.	g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N/A.
Identification of prior art discussed: Sie (US 2003/023365	<u>6) and Fries (US 2004/0078807)</u> .
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-20</u> .	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's representative]
Date of Interview: <u>09 February 2009</u> .	
(2) <u>Vivek Srivastava</u> .	(4)
(1) <u>JOSHUA TAYLOR</u> .	(3) <u>May Lin DeHaan (Reg. No.: 42472)</u> .
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, FTC	personner).